



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/717,884

11/20/2003

Ross W. Duncan

10758.00

9400

26889 7590 09/21/2009

MICHAEL CHAN  
NCR CORPORATION  
1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD  
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001

EXAMINER

CHANKONG, DOHM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2452

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/21/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/717,884	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DUNCAN, ROSS W.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DOHM CHANKONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2452	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 20-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 20-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 6/11/2009. Claims 20 and 22 are amended. Claims 1-19 were previously cancelled. Accordingly, claims 20-23 are presented for further examination. This action is a final rejection.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's amendment with respect to claim 20 have been considered but does not overcome the cited referenced *Drummond*. *Drummond* discloses providing scripts in a variety of formats including Diebold 91X, NCR PAS, or IBM 473X [column 32 «lines 26-36»]. *Drummond*'s different messages are interpreted as Applicant's claimed scripts as *Drummond* discloses that the messages contain instructions to be executed [column 36 «lines 20-26»]. Finally, *Drummond* discloses a script engine for parsing these messages [column 51 «lines 35-40»]: a Diebold 91x plug-in for supporting 91x messages].

Applicant's amendment to claim 22 also does not overcome *Drummond*. *Drummond*, and COMM [column 7 «lines 45-48» | column 47 «lines 44-50»]. The discloses a variety of script engines including a java virtual machine, Active-x, C++, CORBA se examples represent script engines other than a JVM or CGI. The examiner notes that claim 22 differs in scope from claim 20 by not claiming a first and second script engine but only a single script engine.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 2452

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20 and 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. *MPEP § 2173.05(i)*. Moreover, the mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion and any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. *Id.*

Here, claims 20 and 22 contain the exclusionary proviso "other than...a Common Gateway Interface." Applicant's specification fails to provide any basis for this exclusion. The specification does not provide any description for what the script engine cannot be including a Common Gateway Interface. Thus, claims 20 and 22 are rejected for failing to comply with the written description requirement.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Art Unit: 2452

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**I. CLAIMS 20-23 ARE REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(E) AS BEING UNPATENTABLE OVER *DRUMMOND ET AL*, U.S. PATENT NO. 6.970.846 [ "*DRUMMOND*" ].**

**Claim 20**

As to claim 20, *Drummond* discloses a self-service terminal comprising:

a web browser [Fig. 2, «item 76»];

a Java Virtual Machine executing on the Web browser and for executing Java code associated with a Web page, the Java Virtual Machine including a first script engine for parsing Java script having an extension indicative of Java script [Fig. 2 «items 80, 82»: java environment reads on virtual machine];

a software agent infrastructure comprising a plurality of interacting agents, the plurality of interacting agents including a portal agent which receives communications on behalf of the agent infrastructure [Fig. 2 «items 70, 84, 109»: applets read on claimed agent infrastructure and server program reads on portal agent | column 32 «lines 48-56»: server is located in the ATM which serves as a link to transaction instructions]; and

a second script engine different from the first script engine and other than a Java Virtual Machine or a Common Gateway Interface [column 51 «lines 35-40»: a Diebold 91x plug-in for supporting 91x messages], the second script engine communicating with the web browser and the software agent infrastructure [column 51 «lines 35-40»: the plug-in acting as a proxy for 91x messages between the web browser and external agents] and operating to (i) parse script having a dedicated agent extension different from the extension indicative of Java script [column 32 «lines 26-36»] and (ii) translate data output from the portal agent to a format which can be

Art Unit: 2452

interpreted by the web browser and thereby to establish a communication link between the web browser and the software agent infrastructure [column 36 «lines 15-30»: converting 91x messages to HTTP messages].

### **Claim 21**

As to claim 21, *Drummond* discloses a self-service terminal as claimed in claim 20, wherein the format which can be interpreted by the web browser is HTML [column 4 «lines 31-36»].

### **Claim 22**

As to claim 22, *Drummond* discloses A method of establishing a communication link between a web browser and a software agent infrastructure that outputs data in a first format which is unable to be interpreted by the web browser, the method comprising:

receiving a request from the web browser for data or functionality available from the software agent infrastructure [column 32 «lines 36-42 and 48-56»: the server in the ATM produces transaction requested by the browser];

using a script engine which is other than either a Java Virtual Machine or a Common Gateway Interface to translate the request received from the web browser into a second format which is able to be interpreted by software agent infrastructure [column 32 lines 22-26» | column 47 «lines 44-50»: ActiveX]; and

transmitting the translated request to the software agent infrastructure to establish the communication link between the web browser and the software agent infrastructure [column 32 lines 22-26»].

**Claim 23**

As to claim 23 *Drummond* discloses the method of claim 22 wherein the second format which is able to be interpreted by the web browser is HTML [column 4 «lines 31-36»].

***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOHM CHANKONG whose telephone number is (571)272-3942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday [8:30 AM to 4:30 PM].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571.272.3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2452

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dohm Chankong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452